Calcium Peroxide Diperoxohydrate as a Storable Chemical Generator of Singlet Oxygen for Organic Synthesis

Christel Pierlot,[†] Véronique Nardello,[†] Jordane Schrive,[†] Caroline Mabille,[†] Jacques Barbillat,[‡] Bernard Sombret,[‡] and Jean-Marie Aubry^{*,†}

LCOM, Equipe "Oxydation et Formulation", ESA CNRS 8009, ENSCL, BP 108, F-59 652 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France, and LASIR, UMR CNRS 8516A, Bàt C5, F-59 655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

jean-marie.aubry@univ-lille1.fr

Received July 30, 2001

Calcium peroxide diperoxohydrate (CaO₂·2H₂O₂) is an environmentally friendly generator of singlet oxygen (${}^{1}O_{2}$, ${}^{1}\Delta_{g}$) that can be used in organic synthesis as an alternative to the regular photochemical method. This compound produces ${}^{1}O_{2}$ in various solvents and can be easily recovered by filtration for further regeneration. Both monitoring of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ luminescence at 1270 nm and specific trapping have shown that CaO₂·2H₂O₂ can be stored for several days at -80 °C and that the yield of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ is equal to 25%. Oxidation of typical organic substrates in methanol or THF through [4 + 2] or [2 + 2] cycloaddition and ene reaction have been carried out on a preparative scale with total conversion and selectivity.

Introduction

Singlet oxygen (${}^{1}O_{2}$, ${}^{1}\Delta_{g}$), a powerful although selective oxidant toward many types of electron-rich substrates, is usually produced by photosensitization. This method requires photochemical reactors that are not always available in research laboratories or in industrial plants. On the other hand, chemical sources of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ involving readily available oxidants such as H₂O₂ have been reported as attractive alternatives to the photochemical process. As an example, MoO_4^{2-} is known to catalyze the disproportionation of H₂O₂ into H₂O and ¹O₂ in water,¹⁻³ in aqueous methanol,^{1,4,5} or in w/o microemulsions.⁶ The two first reaction media exhibit poor solubilizing properties toward typical organic compounds; therefore, only substrates with low molecular weight or bearing hydrophilic groups^{7,8} can be oxidized in these solvents. Moreover, side reactions can occur between substrates and H₂O₂ itself or with peroxomolybdates.⁷ The third microheterogeneous system requires high quantities of surfactant and cosurfactant and, consequently, makes the recovering of the oxidized product from the media troublesome.

- (4) Clague, J. C.; Butler, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3475-3484.
- (5) Meister, G. E.; Butler, A. *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, *33*, 3269–3275.
 (6) Aubry, J. M.; Bouttemy, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, *119*, 5286–5294.
- (7) Nardello, V.; Bouttemy, S.; Aubry, J. M. J. Mol. Catal. 1997, 117, 439-447.
- (8) Aubry, J. M.; Cazin, B.; Duprat, F. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 726–728.

Chemical generation of ¹O₂ in organic media without addition of H₂O₂ can be also achieved by thermolysis of isolable organic⁹ or inorganic¹⁰ peroxides. For example, singlet oxygen can be produced by warming triphenyl phosphite ozonide,^{11,12} but this method is only used for mechanistic studies since it involves the costly triphenyl phosphite, the handling of hazardous chemicals, and the separation of the reduced phosphorus compound from the products after completion of the oxidation. Singlet oxygen can also be produced beside other reactive oxygen species during the base-catalyzed disproportionation of peracids.¹⁵ The same phenomenon occurs with K₃CrO₈^{16,17} that induces radical side reactions. Pure singlet oxygen can be generated in organic media from naphthalenic endoperoxides^{18,19} that decompose thermally in solution to the parent hydrocarbon and molecular oxygen, half of which in a singlet state. The oxidation of organic substrates on the preparative scale would require the

- (11) Caminade, A. M.; El Khati, F.; Koenig, M.; Aubry, J. M. *Can. J. Chem.* **1985**, *63*, 3203–3209.
- (12) Murray, R. W.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5358-5364.
 (13) Murray, R. W.; Kaplan, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 537-
- (14) Bartlett, P. D.; Mendenhall, G. D.; Durham, D. L. J. Org. Chem.
- (15) But and J. 200 (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (199
- (16) Peters, J. W.; Pitts, J. N.; Rosenthal, I.; Fuhr, H. J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. **1972**, *94*, 4348–4350. (17) Peters, J. W.; Bekowies, P. J.; Winer, A. M.; Pitts, J. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1975**, *97*, 3299–3306.
- Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3299–3306.
 (18) Turro, N. J.; Chow, M. F.; Rigaudy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7218–7224.
- (19) Wasserman, H. H.; Scheffer, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 3073–3075.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: 00 33 3 20 33 63 64.

[†] LCOM.

[‡] LASIR.

Aubry, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5844–5849.
 Aubry, J. M.; Cazin, B. Inorg. Org. Chem. 1988, 27, 2013–2014.

 ⁽³⁾ Nardello, V.; Marko, J.; Vermeersch, G.; Aubry, J. M. Inorg. Org. Chem. 1995, 34, 4950–4957.
 (4) Clague, J. C.; Butler, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3475–

⁽⁹⁾ Briviba, K.; Saha-Moller, C. R.; Adam, W.; Sies, H. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Int.* **1996**, *38*, 647–651.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Aubry, J. M. New chemical sources of singlet oxygen. In *Membrane lipid oxidation*; Vigo-Pelfrey, C., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1991; Vol. 2, pp 65–102.
(11) Caminade, A. M.; El Khati, F.; Koenig, M.; Aubry, J. M. *Can.*

preparation of a great amount of the endoperoxide and the removing of the naphthalene derivative from the reaction medium. However, water-soluble endoperoxides have found valuable applications in biochemistry when the releasing of a known amount of pure ¹O₂ was necessary.9,20-26

Recently, Ca²⁺ has been found to be the most environmentally friendly catalyst to generate ¹O₂ by disproportion of hydrogen peroxide. The precursor of ¹O₂ has been identified as the isolable solid calcium peroxide diperoxohydrate CaO2·2H2O2 which was described as unstable for temperature higher than 25 °C.²⁷ This oxidizing agent offers the advantage to generate singlet oxygen in pure organic solvent without addition of aqueous hydrogen peroxide. In this paper, we show that calcium peroxide diperoxohydrate can be used as a simple heterogeneous source of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ for the oxidation of organic compounds in MeOH or in THF through the typical [4 + 2] and [2 + 2]cycloadditions and the ene-reaction.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization of CaO₂·2H₂O₂. Although numerous calcium peroxides are reported in the literature,^{28,29} Gladysheva³⁰ has established that only two well-defined compounds may be obtained by reaction of H_2O_2 with Ca(II) in aqueous solution: the stable octahydrate peroxide CaO2.8H2O and the thermolabile diperoxohydrate peroxide CaO₂·2H₂O₂. This last compound can be prepared from Ca(OH)₂,³⁰⁻³³ CaO₂·8H₂O,^{30,33} CaO_2 ,^{29,34} or $CaCl_2^{29}$ by addition of concentrated hydrogen peroxide, followed by separation of the precipitate on a glass filter at -15 °C. In this work, $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ was synthesized from crystal of $CaO_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ with a 95% yield. To avoid partial disproportionation of $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$, the wet peroxide obtained was rapidly and carefully deshydrated by filtration and used immediately or stored at -80 °C. Chemical titrations and thermogravimetric analysis of the precipitate show the absence of free water and the presence of three peroxide groups per atom of calcium.

Heating CaO₂·2H₂O₂ in methanol at 50 °C leads to the release of 1 molar equiv of oxygen and leaves an in-

- (21) Pierlot, C.; Hajjam, S.; Barthélémy, C.; Aubry, J. M. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 1996, 36, 31-39.
- (22) Dewilde, A.; Pellieux, C.; Hajjam, S.; Wattre, P.; Pierlot, Hober, D.; Aubry, J. M. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 1996, 36, 23–30.
- (23) Pierlot, C.; Aubry, J. M.; Briviba, K.; Sies, H.; Di Mascio, P. Methods Enzymol. 2000, 319, 3-20.
- (24) Klotz, L. O.; Pellieux, C.; Brivida, K.; Pierlot, C.; Aubry, J. M.; Sies, H. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1999**, *260*, 917–922
- (25) Martinez, G. R.; Ravanat, J. L.; Medeiros, M. H. G.; Cadet, J.; Di Mascio, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 10212–10213. (26) Di Mascio, P.; Sies, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 2929–
- 2914.
- (27) Nardello, V.; Brivida, K.; Sies, H.; Aubry, J. M. Chem. Commun. 1998, 599-600.
- (28) Volnov I. I. In *Peroxides, Superoxides and Ozonides of Alkali-Earth-metals*, Petrocelli, A. W., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1966.
- (29) Vannenberg, N. G. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* 1962, *4*, 125–197.
 (30) Gladysheva, T. V.; Ippolitov, E. G.; Lemesheva D. G. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* 1992, *37*, 1022–1024.
- (31) Karelin, A. I.; Lemesheva, D. G.; Gladysheva, T. V. Russ. J.
- Inorg. Chem. 1995, 40, 388-392. (32) Krivtsov, N. V.; Lemesheva, D. G.; Gladysheva, T. V.; Ippolitov,
- (32) KHVISOV, N. V.; Lemesheva, D. G.; Gladysheva, T. V.; Ippolitov,
 E. G. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *39*, 781–783.
 (33) Gladysheva, T. V.; Lemesheva, D. G.; Karelin, A. I.; Gladyshev,
 N. F. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *40*, 1094–1097.
 (34) Karelin, A. I.; Lemesheva, D. G. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **1994**,
- 39, 1601-1608.



Figure 1. Luminescence electric signal of ¹O₂ at 1270 nm versus time during the thermolysis of CaO₂·2H₂O₂ (4 mmol) in CH₃OH (20 mL) at 50 °C without (A) and with (B) addition of α -terpinene (0.02 mmol).

soluble cream-colored residue, which was shown to be the anhydrous calcium peroxide, CaO₂, as reported by Gladisheva (eq 1).³³

$$CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{50 \text{ °C, 3 h}} CaO_2 + 2H_2O + \alpha^1O_2 + (1-\alpha)^3O_2 \quad (1)$$

Formation of ¹O₂ during the thermolysis of CaO₂·2H₂O₂ was proved unambiguously by detection of its monomol emission at 1270 nm (eq 2).

$$^{4}O_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{p}} {}^{3}O_{2} + h\nu \text{ (1270 nm)}$$
 (2)

Kinetics of ¹O₂ formation during the thermolysis at 50 °C of a suspension of CaO₂·2H₂O₂ in methanol was studied by monitoring the luminescence signal at 1270 nm. Figure 1A shows a steep increase of the intensity during the first 30 min, and then the signal slowly decreases during 2.5 h. Finally, all the available ¹O₂ was released within 3 h at 50 °C.

Yield of {}^{1}O_{2}. The yield (a) of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ produced by thermolysis of $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ (eq 1) can be defined by the expression (3) where [¹O₂]_{cumul} and [³O₂]_{cumul} are, respectively, the cumulated concentrations of singlet and triplet oxygen.

$$\alpha = \frac{[{}^{1}O_{2}]_{\text{cumul}}}{[{}^{3}O_{2}]_{\text{cumul}} + [{}^{1}O_{2}]_{\text{cumul}}} = \frac{[{}^{1}O_{2}]_{\text{cumul}}}{[CaO_{2} \cdot 2H_{2}O_{2}]} \quad (3)$$

Two different methods were used to determine [¹O₂]_{cumul}. The first one (Figure 1) is based on the calibration of the luminescence signal with an internal trap added to the suspension.^{26,35–37} α -Terpinene **3** was the chosen trap because it reacts quickly and selectively with ¹O₂ through a [4 + 2] cycloaddition with negligible physical quenching. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 38,39}$ The addition of $\alpha\text{-terpinene}~{\bf 3}$ to a warmed suspension of CaO2·2H2O2 in MeOH leads to a decrease of the underlying area in Figure 1B). By comparing this missing area with the total underlying area (Figure 1A),

- (38) Aubry, J. M.; Mandard-Cazin, B.; Rougee, M.; Bensasson, R. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9159–9164.
- (39) Gawa, S.; Fukui, S.; Hanasaki, Y.; Asano, K.; Uegaki, H.; Fujita, S.; Shimazaki, R. Chemosphere 1991, 2, 1211-1225

⁽²⁰⁾ Saito, I.; Matsurra, T.; Inoue, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 188 - 190

⁽³⁵⁾ Di Mascio, P.; Kaiser, S.; Sies, H. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1989, 2.532 - 538.

⁽³⁶⁾ Manitto, P.; Speranza, G.; Monti, D.; Gramatica, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 42221-4224.

⁽³⁷⁾ Oliveiros, E.; Braun, A.; Aminian-Saghafi, T.; Sliwka, H. R. New J. Chem. 1994, 18, 535-539.

Table 1. Yield of ¹O₂ Produced by Thermolysis of 4 mmol CaO₂·2H₂O₂ in CH₃OH at 50 °C for 3 h^a

α-terpinene (mmol)	ascaridole (mmol)	α (%)
1	0.94	24 ^a
2	1.04	$26^{\mathrm{a,b}}$
4	0.94	24 ^a
16	1.00	25 ^a

^{*a*} All experiments were analyzed by HPLC (a) and the second entry was also studied by luminescence (b).

Table 2. Influence of Time and Temperature of Storage on the Yield of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ Generated during the Thermolysis of $CaO_{2}\cdot 2H_{2}O_{2}$ at 50 °C in Methanol

<i>T</i> (°C)	time (days)	α (%)
	0	25
-80	6	25
-18	6	17
4	6	0
20	6	0

it was found that the cumulated amount of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ arising from CaO₂·2H₂O₂ corresponds to a yield of 26%.

 $[{}^{1}O_{2}]_{cumul}$ can also be determined by measurement of the quantity of ascaridole ${\bf 8}$ formed by oxidation of an excess of α -terpinene with a given amount of CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2. Table 1 shows that whatever the concentration of α -terpinene is, the amount of ascaridole ${\bf 8}$ formed is always equal to a quarter of the concentration of calcium peroxide. Hence, the four experiments lead to an average yield of 25% for the production of singlet oxygen (Table 1). This yield, based on chemical trapping, is probably an underestimated value of the total yield of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ since a part of the ${}^{1}O_{2}$ released inside the crystals of CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2 is deactivated before reaching the solution.

It is noteworthy that a higher yield of 50% was reported previously by Nardello et al.²⁷ This discrepancy could arise from the different procedure used to prepare calcium peroxide diperoxohydrate.³³ In this previous work, calcium peroxide was isolated by centrifugation and was still moistened with aqueous H_2O_2 , which generates extra ${}^{1}O_2$ through disproportionation by Ca(II). Another explanation could be the larger specific area of the crystals, which could increase the available ${}^{1}O_2$.

Calcium peroxide diperoxohydrate is stable only in the presence of concentrated hydrogen peroxide.²⁸ Little detailed information on the stability is available except the storage experiments carried out by Gladysheva.³³

To determine the evolution of the oxidative power of the calcium peroxide with the time of storage, we have measured the total underlying area of the luminescence intensity during thermolysis of calcium peroxide at 50 °C in methanol. This area can be converted into ${}^{1}O_{2}$ yield since for freshly prepared peroxide, it corresponds to a 25% yield.

Table 2 presents the yields of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ as a function of time and temperature of storage. It is clear that $CaO_{2} \cdot 2H_{2}O_{2}$ is unstable above 4 °C. The higher the temperature of storage is, the higher the rate of singlet oxygen loss is. At -18 °C, 32% of the available ${}^{1}O_{2}$ is lost after 6 days, whereas at -80 °C no loss could be observed during the storage in agreement with previous work.³³ Finally, to avoid oxygen loss, the time elapsed between filtration and use or storage should be minimized. Otherwise, the peroxide should be kept frozen before run.

Oxidation of Organic Substrates. Seven typical organic substrates including three conjugated dienes **1**, **2**, and **3**, two aromatic hydrocarbons **4** and **5**, and two

Table 3. Solvent Effect on the Oxidation of Rubrene 4 (0.05 M) with $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ (0.2 M) Warmed for 3 h at 50 °C

solvent	τ_{Δ}^{41} (μ s)	conversion (%)	α (%)
acetonitrile	67	0	0
anisole	9	0	0
dichloromethane	97	18	5
chloroform	120	17	4
1,1-dichloroethane	67	15	4
dioxane	25	18	5
THF	22	85	21

olefins **6** and **7** were oxidized by $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ on the preparative scale. These substrates illustrate three standard types of ${}^{1}O_2$ reactions, namely, the [4 + 2] cycloaddition, the [2 + 2] cycloaddition, and the ene reaction.

The solvents were selected in order to allow the oxidation of high concentrations of substrates ($C \ge 0.1$ M) and to be readily removed at the end of the reaction. Moreover, to minimize the quenching of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ by the solvent, a minimal ${}^{1}O_{2}$ lifetime (τ_{Δ}) of 10 μ s was chosen. At last, solvents should lead to a fine dispersion of $CaO_{2} \cdot 2H_{2}O_{2}$ under stirring to permit the release of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ in the media.

Although dipolar aprotic solvents such as DMSO, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide and *N*-methylformamide exhibit both good solubilizing and dispersion properties toward organic and inorganic compounds, they were not retained because of their high boiling point and of the quenching of ¹O₂ by the sulfoxide group of DMSO.

On the basis of these criteria, seven potential solvents (Table 3) were selected and compared through the peroxidation of rubrene 4, a highly reactive substrate able to trap the total amount of available ¹O₂. For solubility reasons, methanol could not be examined by this method. A concentration of 0.05 M of 4 is sufficient for the complete scavenging of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and, in this case, chemical quenching (eq 7) predominates over other pathways of ¹O₂ quenching (eqs 5 and 6). Table 3 presents the yields for the conversion of 4 into the endoperoxide in the different solvents after 4h of reaction at 50 °C with CaO₂. 2H₂O₂ (0.2 M). NMR analysis have confirmed the formation of the expected 5,12-endoperoxide as the sole oxidation product. The low yields of conversion (15-17%) obtained with chlorinated solvents show that a long lifetime of singlet oxygen is not a sufficient condition to get a high yield of conversion.^{38,40} Anisole and acetonitrile were found to be unsuitable for our purpose since 4 remains unchanged after thermolysis of CaO₂·2H₂O₂. Finally, experiments reported in Tables 1 and 3 show that THF and methanol are the most efficient solvents. The poor solubility of **1**, **2**, **4**, and **6** in MeOH compelled us to resort to THF for their oxidations. The conversions of 3, 5, and 7 are less dependent on the solvent effect, but comparative studies have shown that THF leads to a better yield for the conversion of 7 into the corresponding hydroperoxides, whereas methanol was more adapted to the conversion of α -terpinene **3** into ascaridole **8**.

Determination of the Amount of CaO₂·2H₂O₂ Required for Total Conversion of a Given Substrate. The peroxidation of a given substrate is considered as complete when more than 99% of the starting material is consumed. This goal may be achieved only when a sufficient amount of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ is generated from the thermal decomposition of CaO₂·2H₂O₂ through reaction 1. The different pathways of decay of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ are presented

Table 4. Reactivity Indexes (γ , β) of Studied Substrates and Theorical Amounts of CaO2·2H2O2 Required for a 99%Oxidation in MeOH and THF

substrate				$\beta = k_{ m d}/(k_{ m r}$	$(+ k_q)$ (M)	$[CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2]$ (M)	
no.	Μ	$\log(k_{\rm r}+k_{\rm q})$	$\gamma = k_{\rm r}/(k_{\rm r}+k_{\rm q})$	MeOH	THF	MeOH	THF
1	0.1	9.0	1	$1.0 imes 10^{-4}$	$4.5 imes10^{-5}$	0.40	0.40
2	0.05	8.6	0.8	$2.5 imes10^{-4}$	$1.1 imes10^{-4}$	0.26	0.25
3	0.1	7.5	1	$3.2 imes10^{-3}$	$1.4 imes 10^{-3}$	0.46	0.43
4	0.1	7.5	1	$3.2 imes10^{-3}$	$1.4 imes10^{-3}$	0.46	0.43
5	0.1	6.3	0.34	$5.0 imes10^{-2}$	$2.2 imes 10^{-2}$	3.89	2.36
6	0.1	6.8	0.4	$1.6 imes10^{-2}$	$7.2 imes10^{-3}$	1.74	1.33
7	0.2	5.8	0.87	$1.6 imes10^{-1}$	$7.2 imes10^{-2}$	4.31	2.44

through eq 4 to eq 6, where k_d is the pseudo-first-order constant of singlet oxygen decay in the solvent whereas k_q and k_r are the bimolecular rate constants for the physical and the chemical quenching of singlet oxygen by a substrate S.

quenching by solvent
$${}^{1}O_{2} \frac{k_{d}}{\text{solvent}} {}^{3}O_{2}$$
 (4)

physical quenching
$${}^{1}O_{2} + S \xrightarrow{k_{q}} {}^{3}O_{2} + S$$
 (5)

chemical reaction
$${}^{1}O_{2} + S \xrightarrow{\kappa_{r}} SO_{2}$$
 (6)

The overall rate constants $(k_r + k_q)$ have been determined for many organic compounds by flash photolysis, whereas the reported rate constants k_r are quite scarce.⁴¹ Once generated by the reaction 1, singlet oxygen decays mainly according to physical (eqs 4 and 5) and to chemical (eq 6) quenching. Under pseudostationary-state conditions (d[¹O₂]/d*t* = 0), and after integration between zero time and infinite time, we obtain the expression (7), where the infinite time refers to the complete decomposition of CaO₂·2H₂O₂.

The ratio $\gamma = k_{\rm r}/(k_{\rm r} + k_{\rm q})$ expresses the contribution of the chemical quenching in the overall quenching whereas $\beta = k_{\rm d}/(k_{\rm r} + k_{\rm q})$ corresponds to the minimum concentration of substrate required so that the interaction of ${}^{1}{\rm O}_{2}$ with the substrate (eq 5 and 6) predominates over the deactivation of ${}^{1}{\rm O}_{2}$ by the solvent (eq 4).

As we searched for experimental conditions permitting a conversion of 99% of the substrate, $[S]_{\infty}$ is equal to $[S]_0/$ 100. Moreover, we know that the yield of ${}^{1}O_2$ generated from CaO₂·2H₂O₂ is 25%, therefore the cumulative amount of ${}^{1}O_2$ generated in the medium is related to the concentration of calcium peroxide ($[{}^{1}O_2]_{\infty} = 0.25[CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2]$).

By introducing these expressions of $[S]_{\infty}$ and $[{}^{1}O_{2}]_{\infty}$ into (7) we can calculate the concentration of $CaO_{2} \cdot 2H_{2}O_{2}$ necessary to oxidize a substrate S of known reactivity (eq 8).

$$\frac{k_{\rm d}}{k_{\rm r}+k_{\rm q}} \ln \frac{[{\rm S}]_0}{[{\rm S}]_{\infty}} + [{\rm S}]_0 - [{\rm S}]_{\infty} = \frac{k_{\rm r}}{k_{\rm r}+k_{\rm q}} [{}^1{\rm O}_2]_{\infty}$$
(7)

$$[CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2] = \frac{4}{\gamma} (\beta Ln \ 100 + [S]_0)$$
(8)

Tables 4 and 5 present the studied substrates and their charateristic reactivity indexes γ and β calculated from the known overall quenching rate constants ($k_r + k_q$)

and the k_d values in MeOH ($k_d = 1.0 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and THF ($k_d = 4.5 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$).^{6,38,41} Table 4 shows that the starting concentrations of substrates were always higher than their β values so that during most of the oxidation the quenching of ${}^{1}O_2$ by the substrates predominates over the deactivation of ${}^{1}O_2$ by the solvents. It is noteworthy that for the most reactive substrates **1**–**4** theorical quantities of CaO₂·2H₂O₂ required for a 99% conversion are the same for both solvents. On the contrary, for less reactive substrates **5**–**7** greater amounts of peroxide are needed in methanol, due to the faster deactivation of ${}^{1}O_2$ in methanol compared with THF.

Peroxidation of Organic Substrates on the Preparative Scale. Control experiments have shown that the solvents (MeOH and THF) remained unchanged after heating with $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ for 3 h at 50 °C. Furthermore, no reaction was observed when sodium hydroxide was added to a mixture of H_2O_2 and substrate in the two studied solvents, leading to the conclusion that ${}^{1}O_2$ arises from the thermolysis of $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ and not from a possible base-catalyzed disproportionation of H_2O_2 .

To illustrate the potentiality of this method in preparative organic synthesis, the concentration of the substrates was always higher than 0.1 M except for tetraphenylcyclopentadienone 2 (0.05 M) because of its poor solubility in methanol and THF. Despite the well-known thermal unstability of peroxides and hydroperoxides, no secondary reaction was observed during the peroxidation of compounds 1-7 at 50 °C. However, considering the low persistence of many peroxidic products at this temperature, this method has to be validate with small quantity of a new substrate and the stability of the oxidation product under the required experimental conditions should be checked carefully. All oxidations were carried out according to experimental conditions indicated in Table 5. In all cases, the amount of CaO2.2H2O2 was sufficient to achieve the total oxidation of the starting material, the sole exception was for adamantylideneadamantane 6, which was converted up to 95%.

It is noteworthy that lower concentrations of $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ lead to incomplete conversion of the substrates. This observation validates eq 8 and shows that the availability of released singlet oxygen from the insoluble peroxide is similar to the one obtained from homogeneous chemical sources of ${}^{1}O_2$. Thus, even with huge concentrations of $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ (2.5 M), its dispersion in methanol and THF is sufficient to permit the diffusion of ${}^{1}O_2$ from the inorganic particles up to the reaction medium. Moreover, oxidation products were easily separated from the catalyst by filtration and washing, giving a high yield ($\geq 75\%$) of isolated products (Table 5).

Although a very high conversion rate (95%) of **6** could be achieved with excess of $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$ (2.5 M), it is

⁽⁴¹⁾ Wilkinson, F.; Hellman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1995**, 24, 603–1021.

Table 5. Oxidation of Substrates 1–7 with CaO₂·2H₂O₂ in THF^a or in CH₃OH^b at 50 °C for 3 h



^a In methanol. ^b In THF. ^c Assessed from ¹H NMR. ^d Isolated.

significantly lower than the theorical yield of 99% calculated from eq 8. This discrepancy could be explained by an incorrect value of γ underestimating the consumption of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ through physical quenching by **6**. Actually, lower values of γ (0.12 and 0.15) can be calculated from eq 8 using experimental results obtained with 2.5 and 1.3 M CaO₂·2H₂O₂ which lead to 95% and 30% transformation, respectively.

The ¹H NMR spectrum recorded after the oxidation of α -terpinene proves the disappearance of **3** and confirms the formation of ascaridole **8** as the major product (>90%). This rather stable endoperoxide was then purified by distillation (78 °C, 3 mmHg) with a moderate yield of 40%. ¹H NMR spectrum of the residue of distillation exhibits two large paterns corresponding to polymeric compounds as described by Crivello.⁴²

Conclusions

Calcium peroxide diperoxohydrate (CaO₂·2H₂O₂) is an environmentally friendly generator of ¹O₂ that can be easily prepared from calcium chloride and hydrogen peroxide and stored for several weeks at low temperature. Preparative scale oxidation of hydrophobic substrates in THF or in methanol can be achieved within 3 h at 50 °C, the oxidized product can be recovered in high yields by simple filtration. It has been shown that sufficiently reactive substrates $(\log(k_r + k_r) \ge 6$ and $\gamma \ge 0.3$) can be completely oxidized by this method. For less reactive compounds, this method is inappropriate since it would require an important amount of CaO2. 2H₂O₂ which would hinder the stirring of the reactional mixture. Temperature of thermolysis can be lower than 50 °C to avoid further reduction of thermosensitive products but, in this case, the reaction time will be longer than 3 h.

⁽⁴²⁾ Crivello, J. V.; Yang, B. J. Polymer Sci.: Part A, Polymer Chem. 1995, 33, 1881–1890.

Experimental Section

Reagents. 1,3-Diphenylisobenzofuran 1, tetraphenylcyclopentadienone 2, α -terpinene 3, rubrene 4, 9,10-diphenylanthracene 5, and β -citronellol 7 were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Potassium permanganate, calcium chloride, ammonium hydroxide (28–30%), and hydrogen peroxide (50%, rectapur) were obtained from Prolabo. Adamantylideneadamantane 6 was prepared according to a known procedure⁴³ from phosphorus pentabromide and adamantanone (Aldrich). Luminescence intensity at 1270 nm: Infrared emission of ¹O₂ was detected with a liquid nitrogen cooled germanium photodetector similar to the one described previously by Di Mascio and Sies.²⁶

Quantitative Analysis of Singlet Oxygen. The areas of the total curve of luminescence electric signal (Figure 1A) during thermolysis of 0.2 M CaO₂·2H₂O₂ are 1594 mV min⁻¹. The chemical quenching induced by 1 mM of α -terpinene led to a difference (Figure 1A,B) of 31 mV min⁻¹. Therefore, the yield of singlet oxygen is equal to $(1594/31)(10^{-3}/0.2) = 0.26\%$.

Analysis. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was carried out with a Waters pump model 600 using a 4.6 \times 250 mm column packed with Nova-Pack C18 4 mm. A mixture of H₂O/CH₃OH (10/90) was used as eluent, and the detection was performed both by a UV-multiwavelength detector (Waters 490 E) and by a refractive index detector (Waters 2410). Carbon and proton NMR were recorded on a Brüker AC-200 spectrometer. Reactions were monitored by thinlayer chromatography (TLC) using Merck precoated silica gel plates.

Calcium Peroxide Octahydrate: CaO₂·8H₂O.⁴³ An Erlenmeyer flask (250 mL) was half-filled with an homogeneous solution prepared by dissolving 6.71 g (61 mmol) of CaCl₂ into a mixture of H₂O₂ 50% (17.6 mL, 300 mmol) and water (232 mL). The Erlenmeyer was covered with perforated Parafilm and placed into a closed vessel containing 200 mL of aqueous ammonia (14%). After 1 week at 4 °C, the crystalline precipitate was collected by filtration and washed twice with water and acetone giving 10.14 g (47 mmol, 77%) of CaO₂·8H₂O as colorless crystals.

Calcium Peroxide Diperoxohydrate: $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2 \cdot 4^4 \text{ A}$ 5.0 g (23 mmol) portion of ground $CaO_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ was added to 30 mL of hydrogen peroxide (17 M) at -15 °C. The turbidity of the suspension rapidly decreased in a first step and then increased while a new precipitate was formed. After 1 h of stirring, the precipitate was filtered and stirred once again in 30 mL of hydrogen peroxide (17 M) at -15 °C for 1 h and rapidly filtered under suction and stored at -80 °C (m = 3.1 g, 22 mmol, 95%).

Analysis of Calcium Peroxides.^{32,45–46} The peroxide groups bound to each calcium ion are released through hydrolysis with aqueous H_2SO_4 , and the H_2O_2 thus obtained was titrated with potassium permanganate. The total calcium content was measured by complexometric titration with EDTA (Calcium-Test from Merck). Thermogravimetric analysis of the samples were performed with a Setaram TAG-SR12 setup (France) which includes a derivatograph and a PC/TX computer with a program from the Setaram software. Small samples (10–50 mg) were studied under argon atmosphere with a heating rate of 1 °C/min from 20 to 200 °C and 10 °C/min from 200 to 1000 °C.

Caution: Peroxides are potentially dangerous. CaO₂·2H₂O₂ should not be kept at room temperature, due to its instability

Oxidation of Tetraphenylcyclopentadienone (2). To a solution of 0.148 g (0.4 mmol) of **2** in 8 mL of THF was added 0.448 g (3.2 mmol) of freshly prepared $CaO_2 \cdot 2H_2O_2$. After being stirred for 3 h at 50 °C, the suspension was filtered and washed under suction through a sintered glass funnel to eliminate the inorganic solid. The filtrate was rotatory evaporated at 50 °C in a vacuum, and 10 mL of methylene chloride was added to the residue. The organic layer was washed successively with NaHCO₃ 5% (10 mL), distilled water (10 mL), and water saturated with NaCl (10 mL) and then dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation of solvent gave 142 mg (95%) of pure 1,2,3,4-tetraphenyl-2-butene-1,4-dione **9**.

Oxidation of Other Substrates. The other substrates **1** and **3**–**7** were oxidized according to the same procedure under initial conditions of concentrations reported in Table 4. All the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were in agreement with the published spectra⁴ or with those of authentic samples prepared by photooxygenation in the presence of methylene blue or tetraphenyl-porphyrine as photosensitizers.

Acknowledgment. This work was in part supported by Rhodia and by the Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) within the program "Catalyseurs pour l'industrie et l'environnement".

Supporting Information Available: Luminescence spectrum of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ obtained by thermolysis of $CaO_{2} \cdot 2H_{2}O_{2}$ (2.5 M) in $D_{2}O$ at 80 °C for 1 min. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO010766X

⁽⁴³⁾ Meijer, E. W.; Wynberg, H. J. Chem. Ed. **1980**, 59 (12), 1071–1072.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Ballou, E. V.; Wood, P. C.; Spitze, L. A. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1977, 16, 180–186.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Johnston, R. S.; Osgood, E. D.; Miller, R. R. Anal. Chem. 1958, 30 (4), 511–513.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Seyb, E.; Kleinberg J. J. Anal. Chem. 1951, 23, 115-116.